

# ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS

1.00

## WHITE BOOK BALANCE SCORE CARD

Recommendations:	Introduced in the WB:	Significant progress	Certain progress	No progress
It is necessary to clarify the relationship between bankruptcy and arbitration proceedings in the Bankruptcy Law;	2018			√
Promote the possibilities and advantages of dispute resolution through arbitration by providing institutional support to the relevant governmental and non-governmental bodies as well as by instructing professional organizations and companies to accept the jurisdiction of local arbitration institutions;	2010			√
Develop a supportive legal framework for the activity of arbitration institutions in Serbia to ensure conditions for regional companies to accept its jurisdiction, subsequently creating a regional arbitration centre in Serbia;	2021			√
Organize trainings and conferences aimed at judicial sector in order to facilitate and consolidate experience in arbitration related court procedures (annulment and recognition).	2021			√

## CURRENT SITUATION

The regulatory framework for arbitration proceedings in Serbia is comprised of the Law on Arbitration (“Official Gazette of RS”, no. 46/2006) and the rules of two arbitral institutions, the Permanent Arbitration at the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Serbia (CCIS) (effective from 30 June 2016) and the Belgrade Arbitration Centre (effective from 1 January 2014). Both arbitral institutions have the jurisdiction to settle any dispute eligible for arbitration, regardless of whether it is an international dispute or a domestic one.

The general impression is that arbitration is increasingly popular as a way of resolving commercial disputes. However, it is still mostly present in international business relations, where there is a traditional mistrust among foreign companies in the competence of domestic courts. On the other hand, domestic companies still believe that arbitration is rather expensive compared with courts. However, it is often disregarded that the lengthy court proceedings (especially in disputes of greater value) can be significantly more expensive than arbitration, where decisions are made faster in comparison to courts.

The Law on Arbitration, in force from 10 June 2006 in its original text, was drafted in accordance with international standards, based on the Model Law on the Arbitration of the UN Commission on International Trade Law from 1986. Given the implementation of the law so far, a number of highly experienced practitioners, significantly cheaper

costs of the arbitration proceedings compared to the more popular arbitration institutions in Europe and the fact that Serbian courts rarely annul arbitration decisions, Serbia should be perceived as an attractive arbitration destination.

In 2021 The Government of the Republic of Serbia adopted the Resolution on the establishment of the Commission for considering issues related to disputes before the international arbitrations. The tasks of the Commission are analysing the legal and factual aspects presented in documents expressing the intention to initiate an arbitration proceeding against the Republic of Serbia before an international arbitration, providing proposals to the Government for amicable settlement of the disputed matter before filing a claim before an international arbitration, if the Commission deems it justified and appropriate, and other.

## POSITIVE DEVELOPMENTS

Recently, the advance of arbitration in Serbia and other countries has been focused on the extension of the jurisdiction of arbitration, rather than the improvement of arbitration rules. In general, arbitration laws, as well as the rules of arbitration institutions, today have a satisfactory legal framework, and the professional community is primarily focused on promoting the broader and more frequent use of arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism.

Serbia has been following these trends, and in 2017 a positive step forward in regulating the relationship between bankruptcy and arbitration was made through amendments

to the Bankruptcy Law (“Official Gazette of RS”, no. 104/2009, 99/2011 – other law, 71/2012 – decision of the Constitutional Court, 83/2014, 113/2017, 44/2018 and 95/2018). In particular, since 2009, it was unclear whether a creditor whose claim (the subject of an arbitration agreement) in bankruptcy proceedings is disputed can initiate or resume arbitration proceedings in order to determine the merits of the disputed claim. The Bankruptcy Law regulates the relation between arbitration and bankruptcy proceedings in Art. 117, which stipulates that the creditor whose claim is disputed shall initiate court proceedings, or resume suspended litigation or arbitration proceedings in order to determine the merits of the disputed claim, and Art. 118, which stipulates that the bankruptcy administrator shall take over civil or arbitration proceedings in the state in which they are at the time of opening the bankruptcy proceedings.

It is necessary to emphasise that the entire legal system that regulates the application of arbitration in the Republic of Serbia is modern and satisfactory.

## REMAINING ISSUES

- It is necessary to clarify the relationship between bankruptcy and arbitration proceedings in the Bankruptcy Law.

Amendments to the Bankruptcy Law in 2017, although representing a positive step forward in resolving the relationship between arbitration and bankruptcy proceedings, are still not sufficiently clear in the present form, and there are many controversial issues which will cause certain problems in practice.

Firstly, based on the provisions of Art. 117 and Art. 118 of the Law on Bankruptcy, it remains unclear whether creditors who did not initiate an arbitration before the opening of bankruptcy proceedings, in case of a disputed bankruptcy claim, can determine the merits of the claim through arbitration, or whether arbitration proceedings are avail-

able only to the creditor who initiated arbitration proceedings against the debtor prior to the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings. If there is an arbitration clause in the contract from which the disputed claim arises, which refers to the settlement of the dispute before arbitration, the court would be incompetent to resolve such a dispute. Despite this, there are interpretations according to which the creditor in this situation can choose between litigation and arbitration proceedings.

Also, the Bankruptcy Law does not regulate the following important issues for the relationship between arbitral and bankruptcy proceedings:

- there is no explicit requirement that the claimant in arbitration proceedings is obliged to change the claim, that is, to request declaratory claim instead of establishing a condemnatory claim (this requirement exists for litigation),
- the consequences of opening bankruptcy proceedings while there is an ongoing arbitration in which the bankruptcy debtor is the claimant are not regulated,
- it is not explicitly regulated that the opening of bankruptcy proceedings results in the termination of arbitration proceedings,
- it is not prescribed whether a bankruptcy administrator can conclude an arbitration agreement, and whether the board of creditors’ consent would be required for concluding such an arbitration agreement.
- Also, the efficiency of the current framework of the court procedure for the annulment of arbitral awards is questionable, as it is based on a two-step ruling process, first before the first instance court, and then before the appellate court.
- Finally, there is insufficient arbitral practice and therefore relevant arbitral experience in this area. Since case law is somewhat modest, foreign case law should also be consulted in order to determine best practices based on the UNCITRAL Model Law and improve efficiency in recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral award.

## FIC RECOMMENDATIONS

- It is necessary to clarify the relationship between bankruptcy and arbitration proceedings in the Bankruptcy Law;
- Promote the possibilities and advantages of dispute resolution through arbitration by providing institutional support to the relevant governmental and non-governmental bodies as well as by instructing professional

organizations and companies to accept the jurisdiction of local arbitration institutions;

- Develop a supportive legal framework for the activity of arbitration institutions in Serbia to ensure conditions for regional companies to accept its jurisdiction, subsequently creating a regional arbitration centre in Serbia;
- Organize trainings and conferences aimed at judicial sector in order to facilitate and consolidate experience in arbitration related court procedures (annulment and recognition).